

# In The Supreme Court of Bermuda

### **CIVIL JURISDICTION**

2023: No. 86

**BETWEEN:** 

### **DV BERMUDA LIMITED**

Plaintiff

-and-

#### **ANDRE THOMAS**

Defendant

Before:	Hon. Assistant Justice Diel
<u>Appearances</u> :	Tyrone Quinn for the Plaintiff Auralee Cassidy – Wakefield Quin Limited for the Defendant
Date of Hearing:	7 <sup>th</sup> September 2023

Date of Ruling: 17<sup>th</sup> May 2024

## RULING

 This is an application to set aside judgment and to file a defence out of time. There is no dispute on the law in this matter and I am grateful to both parties for their candor and brevity.

- 2. Ms Cassidy admits that the defence ought to have been filed by 1<sup>st</sup> May 2023 and there was no excuse for failing to do so. The defendant thus fails on this first part of the two part test.
- 3. In addition, the Defendant also fails on the second part of the test to set aside. I find the defence contradictory in a number of places where in some places it denies a contract and in others is pleaded if a contract exists. What is perhaps fatal to this application is that in action 2023 No 26 the Defendant (as Plaintiff) asserted the consultancy fees, denied in this action, are a valid debt owed by the Griffiths. Those are the same fees that DV Bermuda is suing for in the present action.
- Accordingly, I dismiss the Defendant's application and confirm judgment in the sum of \$104,000.00 plus costs. There is no quantified claim for damages sought so no order is made in relation to that nor is interest sought.
- 5. The costs of and occasioned by the application to the Plaintiff to be taxed if not agreed.

Dated this 17th day of May 2024



#### MARK DIEL ASSISTANT JUSTICE